

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

INOCENCIO PEREZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITIMORTGAGE, INC., et al.,

Defendant.

Case No.: C 10-05675 PSG

**ORDER THAT CASE BE REASSIGNED  
TO A DISTRICT JUDGE**

On January 21, 2011, Defendant Citimortgage Inc. ("Citimortgage") filed a motion to dismiss this action. As a result, each party was required either to file written consent to the jurisdiction of the magistrate judge or to request reassignment to a district judge, no later than January 28, 2011.<sup>1</sup> None of the parties did so.

This court subsequently extended the deadline for the parties to consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction to February 6, 2011.<sup>2</sup> Citimortgage consented to proceed before a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) on February 4, 2011.<sup>3</sup> Plaintiff and Defendant Quality Loan Service Corp. have neither consented nor requested reassignment. Because Citimortgage has

<sup>1</sup> See Civ. L.R. 73-1(a)(2).

<sup>2</sup> See 1/31/11 Order Setting Deadline (Docket No. 12).

<sup>3</sup> See 2/4/11 Consent (Docket No. 13).

1 applied to this court for final disposition against a party who has not consented to the jurisdiction  
2 of the magistrate judge,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case now be reassigned to a district judge.

4 Dated: February 7, 2011

5   
6 PAUL S. GREWAL  
United States Magistrate Judge

1 *Counsel automatically notified of this filing via the court's Electronic Case Filing system.*

2  
3 copies mailed on 2/8/11 to:

4 Inocencio Perez  
5 10330 Athene Drive  
6 San Jose, CA 95127

7 /s/ Kelly Lowenberg  
8 OSCAR RIVERA  
9 Courtroom Deputy  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28